Making malicious security orders of magnitude more efficient than previous semi-honest

Tore Frederik _____, Yehuda Lindell^{1,2}, Valery Osheter², Benny Pinkas¹

15 min vs. 41 sec



^{2:}**UNB()UND**

SE

Center for Research in Applied Cryptography and Cyber Security







ERSARIES





OR

OUTLINE

Introduction

- Semi-honest construction
- Malicious construction
- Efficiency
- Conclusion



INTRODUCTION – PUBLIC KEY ENCRYPTION



INTRODUCTION – DISTRIBUTED PKE



INTRODUCTION – MOTIVATION

- Sometimes it can also be used for distributed signature schemes
 - Which is an end in itself
- Relevant for MPC protocols
 - CDN01, semi-homomorphic PKE
 - DPSZ12, somewhat-homomorphic PKE
- Cloud based key management
 SEPIOR

-UNB()UND



INTRODUCTION – RSA

RSA:

- Find ℓ bit primes p and q
- **Public key:** $pq = N, e (= 3, 2^{16} + 1)$
- **Private key:** $d \equiv e^{-1} \mod (p-1)(q-1)$
- RSA is widely in use
 - TLS, PGP, ...
- Lots of previous work on the distributed setting — ..., [Gil99], [BF01], [ACS02], [DM10], [HMR+12]
- Challenging to solve efficiently

INTRODUCTION – DISTRIBUTED RSA

Distributed RSA:

- Find ℓ bit primes $p = p_A + p_B$ and $q = q_A + q_B$
- -**Public key:** $(p_A + p_B) \cdot (q_A + q_B) = N, e (= 3, 2^{16} + 1)$
- **Private key:** $d_A + d_B \equiv e^{-1} \mod (p-1)(q-1)$

- Pick random p_A, q_A, p_B, q_B
- Do Rabin-Miller

Page 7

Repeat



INTRODUCTION – DISTRIBUTED RSA

Candidate generation

- Sampling random p_A , q_A , p_B , q_B s.t. $p = p_A + p_B$ and $q = q_A + q_B$

- Construct modulus
 - Compute $N = (p_A + p_B) \cdot (q_A + q_B)$
- Verify modulus
 - Check that *N* is the product of two primes
- Construct keys

- Construct shares d_A and d_B s.t. $d \equiv e^{-1} \mod (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$



INTRODUCTION – INTUITION





Construct modulus



Verify modulus





OUTLINE

Introduction

- Semi-honest construction
- Malicious construction
- Efficiency
- Conclusion



SEMI-HONEST – CANDIDATE GENERATION



SEMI-HONEST – CONSTRUCT MODULUS

- $(p_A + p_B) \cdot (q_A + q_B) = p_A \cdot q_A + p_B \cdot q_B + \underline{p_A \cdot q_B} + \underline{p_B \cdot q_A}$
- Compute multiplication using OT [Gil99]



Page 12

SEMI-HONEST – VERIFY MODULUS

• Biprimality test [BF01]

$$\gamma \in_{R} \mathbb{Z}_{N}^{*} : \left(\frac{\gamma}{N}\right) = 1$$

$$\gamma_{A} = \gamma^{\frac{N+1-p_{A}-q_{A}}{4}} \mod N$$

$$If \gamma_{A} \cdot \gamma^{\frac{-p_{B}-q_{B}}{4}} \equiv \pm 1 \mod N$$
Then $\tau = T$ else $\tau = \bot$

$$\tau$$
Repeat

Fales



SEMI-HONEST – CONSTRUCT KEYS

Easy local computation [BF01]

• Compute

$$-w = N + 1 - p_A - q_A - p_B - q_B \mod e$$
$$-v = w^{-1} \mod e$$

• Alice outputs
$$d_A = \left[\frac{-v \cdot (N+1-p_A-q_A)+1}{e}\right]$$

• Bob outputs
$$d_B = \left[\frac{-\nu \cdot (-p_B - q_B)}{e}\right]$$



OUTLINE

Introduction

- Semi-honest construction
- Malicious construction
- Efficiency
- Conclusion



MALICIOUS – IDEA

- Allow adversary to fail good candidates
- Accepted key must be "good" without leakage

Selective failure prevention

- Input consistency
- Correctness of biprimality



MALICIOUS – STEPS

- Selective failure prevention
 - Do OT on random, linear encoding
 - Use linearity to obtain correct product
 - Randomness ensures leakage on encoding does not leak on input
- Input consistency
 - Commitments based on AES encryption
 - Zero-knowledge of correct encryption
 - Very efficient commit-many-open-few
- Correctness of biprimality (zero-knowledge)
 - Almost standard proof-of-knowledge of discrete log
 - Few "commitments" on top to ensure composability



MALICIOUS – CONSISTENCY

- "Commitment" by encrypting using AES
- Efficient commit-many-open-few

 K_A , C_{K_A}



$$C_{K_A} = \operatorname{Com}(K_A)$$



$$C_{p_A} = \operatorname{AES}_{K_A}(p_A)$$



MALICIOUS – VERIFY MODULUS

$$\gamma \in_{R} \mathbb{Z}_{N}^{*} : \left(\frac{\gamma}{N}\right) = 1$$

$$\gamma_{A} = \gamma^{\frac{N+1-p_{A}-q_{A}}{4}} \mod N$$

$$f \gamma_{A} \cdot \gamma^{\frac{-p_{B}-q_{B}}{4}} \equiv \pm 1 \mod N$$

$$Then \tau = \perp else \tau = \perp$$

$$t \in_{R} \mathbb{Z}_{N+2^{s}}$$

$$v = b \cdot (r \cdot p_{A} - q_{A}) + q_{A}$$

$$r = b \cdot (r \cdot p_{A} - q_{A}) + q_{A}$$

$$r = \frac{\gamma^{v} \mod N}{\gamma_{A} \cdot \gamma_{A}^{b} \cdot \gamma^{-b \cdot (N+1)}} \mod N$$

MALICIOUS – VERIFY MODULUS





OUTLINE

Introduction

- Semi-honest construction
- Malicious construction
- Efficiency
- Conclusion



EFFICIENCY – IMPLEMENTATION 2048 RSA

- AES-NI for AES and PRG
- [KOS15] for OTs (seed OTs using [PVW08])
- [NP99] for 1-out-of- β OTs

Page 26

- ZK using garbled circuits using [JKO13]
- Primitives based on OpenSSL

IMPLEMENTATION – EXPERIMENTS

- Azure using multi-threaded Xeon machine
- Single-thread min 56, max 598, average 182 seconds
- 8-thread, average 41 seconds
- Best previous 15 minutes for semi-honest [HMR+12]

Phase	Percentage
Candidate generation	10
Construct modulus	55
Verify modulus	6
Zero-knowledge	16*
Other	13

Malicious!

OUTLINE

Introduction

- Semi-honest construction
- Malicious construction
- Efficiency
- Conclusion



CONCLUSION

- New protocol for malicious distributed RSA generation
 - Malicious security almost for free
 - No specific number theoretic assumptions
 - Implementation

Page 29

- New efficient commit-many-open-few protocol
- Effective selective failure prevention for multiplication using OT

Thank you for your attention!

Tore Frederiksen Cryptography Engineer tore.frederiksen@alexandra.dk





ALEXANDRA INSTITUTE